

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,077	Applicant(s) RICH, IVAN N.	
	Examiner Gailene R. Gabel	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Gailene R. Gabel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Donna Becker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

 Rejection(s) discussed:
of record.

 Claims discussed:
of record.

 Prior art documents discussed:
of record.
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Indicated to Donna Becker that the reagent components recited in the instant application should be consonant in content and scope with those recited in the method claims of the parent application so as to provide a system that indicates proliferative status of primitive hematopoietic cells in a sample. Donna indicated her intent to file a Supplemental Amdt. which reflects changes that need to be further effected into the claim, as well as addition back of the withdrawn species into the allowed set of claims. .